

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/044,141	01/11/2002		Jong Sik Paek	AMKOR-018A	1112	
7663	7590	08/20/2004		EXAMINER		
STETINA 1 75 ENTERP		A GARRED & BRI	CHU, CHRIS C			
ALISO VIEJO, CA 92656				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2815		

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/044,141	PAEK, JONG SIK	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chris C. Chu	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ition. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI	g date of the final rejecti IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr	on. See MPEP opriate extension
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply one content than three months after the mail	originally set in the final	Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	ıS.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 21 and 27 - 31</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. Other:	, , ,		
_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o Inouns	
	TOM THO SUPERVISORY PATE	MAS NT EXAMINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 27 and 30 have been amended to now recite that the plurality of protective layers formed on prescribed regions of respective ones of the leads, ..., at the time of formation. This new limitation requires further search/consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented in pages 10 - 12 of the Response to Final Office Action have been carefully reviewed but fail to be persuasive because Chun-Jen et al. clearly shows in Fig. 5 a generally planar third surface (C and the bottom surface of the element 320 that is exposed from the encapsulating material 340) disposed in opposed to the second surface (B, at the attaching surface area on the element 320 for the chip 350). Thus, Chun-Jen et al. discloses the claimed limitation. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

TOM THOMAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800